

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

SEAUL NUMBER	TALENS LANT	fingt name) inventor		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
077630,986	12724/90	COMBEAU		A	5689
				-FOX-J	EXAMINER
	ARKWRIGHT &	GARVEY		e market production	
3000 SOUTH ARLINGTON,	EADS STREET VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			·	3407	9
				DATE MAILED:	04/09/92
Training continue script from	n the castiment in charge of a	esur golication.			
CO Se Boundarie Of Pre	The man of the same of the same				
-c	-		2/2	1/97 -	
This application has be	en examined Res	ponsive to communication f	iled on	1/12 X	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period allure to respond within the	d for response to this action period for response will	on is set to expirecause the application to be	month(s),	days from 35 U.S.C. 133	the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWING	ATTACHMENT(S) ARE	PART OF THIS ACTION:			
1. Notice of Refere	nces Cited by Examiner.	PTO-892.	2. Notice re	Patent Drawing, F	PTO-948.
3. Notice of Art Cit	ed by Applicant, PTO-144	49.	4. Notice of	_	pplication, Form PTO-152
5. Information on F	low to Effect Drawing Ch	anges, PTO-1474.	6. 🔲		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Part II SUMMARY OF A					
1. Cļaims_		= 16-23			_ are pending in the application
Of the ab	ove, daims			aı	are pending in the application
2. Claims		1-15		<u>.</u>	_ have been cancelled.
3. Claims		1-15			are ·allowed.
4. Claims	<i>[</i> 6-	-23			are rejected.
5. Claims					_ are objected to.
					on or election requirement.
		nal drawings.under.37 C.F.I			
_	are required in response			·	, ,
	, ,	e been received on		. Unde	r 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
are 🗆 accepta	ble; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re	Patent Drawing, P	TO-948).	•
	dditional or substitute she isapproved by the examin	eet(s) of drawings, filed on _ ner (see explanation).	<u></u> .	has (have) been	approved by the
11. The proposed dr	awing correction, filed	, has	been approve	d; 🗆 disapproved	d (see explanation).
		r priority under U.S.C. 119			eived not been received
		ondition for allowance excepte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 4		s, prosecution as t	o the merits is closed in
14. Other					

Serial No. 630986

Art Unit 3407

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16, 18-19, 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by French 292,316. See Figure 15 upside down.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over French 292,316. It would have been obvious to use a tapered plug in '316 in view of their well known nature.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over French 29,316 in view of Nyder et al. Nyder et

F.

Serial No. 630986

Art Unit 3407

al show a plug valve retained by a groove and flange. It would have been obvious to use such a groove and flange in '316 to simply assembly, for example.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim s 16-23 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Fox at telephone number (703) 308-2595.

JOHN C. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 347

J. FOX:th April 01, 1992